



# How a Jew Became a Scientific Creationist



By Tom Cantor  
President, Founder & CEO  
Scantibodies Laboratory, Inc.

*Scripture quotations are from the  
King James Version of the Holy Bible.*

*Copyright © 2007, Tom Cantor  
All Rights Reserved. Printed and  
Published through Scantibodies Laboratory, Inc.  
Santee, CA USA.*

## **How a Jew Became a Scientific Creationist**

My grandfather was an Orthodox Rabbi in Virginia. Although he wanted his three sons to become rabbis, they all became medical doctors. My father was an obstetrician/gynecologist who had a keen interest in researching how to better care for his patients. He became a specialist in the surgical treatment of urinary incontinence and developed a minimal incision surgery called the Marshall-Marchetti-Cantor Procedure. He routinely performed this surgery on his patients, taught the procedure to fellow colleagues, and became president of the Vaginal Surgeons Society. I still remember the happy look on the face of one of my father's patients who was over 90 years old and, following the surgery, was cured of her urinary incontinence. As a child I hoped that one day I would be able to do research that could benefit patients.

1970 was a very important year for me. In

January I was married at the age of 19. But the most important event of my life occurred in September of that year. After months of reading the Bible alone, I saw clearly that I was a sinner heading right down the middle of the road to hell — the just reward for my sins.

**Romans 3:23** says,

**“For all have sinned, and come short of the glory of God;”**

But I saw in the Bible over and over that God loved me, and I believed it.

**John 3:16** — a well known verse, proclaims God’s love in the ultimate gift to man:

**“For God so loved the world, that he gave his only begotten Son, that whosoever believeth in him should not perish, but have everlasting life.”**

I also learned from **2 Peter 3:9** that God did not want me to end in hell.

**“The Lord is...not willing that any should perish, but that all should come to repentance.”**

I realized that in order to save me from hell, God became a man named the Lord Jesus Christ, so that He could become the “sacrificial lamb” to die for my sins.

In **John 1:29**, John the Baptist saw the Lord Jesus Christ approaching and called out:

**“...Behold the Lamb of God, which taketh away the sin of the world.”**

And at last I understood that all I needed to do was to repent of my sins, call on the Lord Jesus Christ and receive Him to be my personal Lord and Savior. He was waiting

to save me from my sins and make me His child.

**John 1:12-13** declares:

**“But as many as received him,  
to them gave he power to  
become the sons of God...”**

I prayed the simple prayer of, “Lord, I am a sinner, please save me from my sins and come into my heart to be my Lord” and real life began for me.

**1 John 5:12** showed me that:

**“He that hath the Son hath  
life; and he that hath not the  
Son of God hath not life.”**

The Bible became a living and vital Book for me. Through its inspired words, God spoke to me in a dynamic way, meeting my daily life needs for instruction, guidance and correction. The Bible became the

authoritative and final word for me for determining what was true.

**2 Timothy 3:16** tells us that:

**“All scripture is given by inspiration of God, and is profitable for doctrine, for reproof, for correction, for instruction in righteousness:”**

In all areas of my life I discovered that when I met conflicting or confusing voices and did not know what was true, I only needed to turn to the Bible and patiently wait for the Word of Authority to settle the matter.

More and more the Bible was no longer in a compartment labeled, “Sunday Religion.” The Bible was expanding for me into a living guide and authority for all areas of life relating to personal, interpersonal, marital, spiritual and academic endeavors. It was

my supreme authority. More and more I was finding that when I followed the Bible I was happy, and when I did not, I was not.

In that same year I entered the newly formed, research-oriented, University of California at San Diego (UCSD). I had attended another University and I immediately noticed the difference at UCSD. At the former University I was taught science by professors who taught from books about what other researchers had found. But at UCSD, I was impressed with how many of my professors taught on research that they had performed or were currently investigating and the excitement over research was contagious.

My dear wife worked as a secretary to put me through school. In order to provide additional financial resources, I was so happy when I got a job at the medical school in the Bioengineering Department. It was a perfect job for me. The job was on

campus and in science with good pay. I was working in the laboratory of Dr. Benjamin Zweifach as an assistant for an Israeli post-doctoral fellow named Yehoram, who was researching the elasticity of rabbit mesentery. I loved to go to work and do the best job I could for him.

My boss was not a believer in the Lord Jesus Christ and I was concerned for his soul. One Friday night, I invited him and his wife to a home of a friend who had organized a dinner meeting with other Jewish believers in the Lord Jesus Christ. At the meeting there was a slide show of many Jewish believers who told how they had come to know and put their trust in the Lord Jesus Christ. Yehoram's wife was visibly very angry and they left early. Monday morning I received a call from Yehoram who told me that I was fired and that I was not to come to work.

I was very upset and sad. That day I went down to the beach and talked to God. I told

God something like, “You don’t understand, that was a really good job and very hard to get—and just because I tried to help my Israeli boss find You, I just lost that job.” I remained in that depressed state for three days. After three days I received a very strange phone call. Also working in that same lab was a Taiwanese post-doctoral fellow named Hyland who was doing very similar work. It turned out that Hyland and Yehoram had great conflicts between them over the shared lab space and equipment. Hyland very much disliked Yehoram and looked for opportunities to get back at him. So, when Hyland came to understand that Yehoram was angry with me and had fired me for inviting him to a Christian meeting, Hyland saw his opportunity to get back at Yehoram. Hyland was in need of an assistant and had gone to Dr. Zweifach and requested that he have permission to hire me. Dr. Zweifach agreed and Hyland was calling me to return to the same lab that Yehoram was in and do the same work, but this time working under Hyland. I agreed

and accepted the new position. I immediately got alone with God and apologized to Him for not giving Him time to show me that He understood I lost my job and that He had a better plan for me. Hyland and I became good friends. That job was wonderful and I kept that job until I graduated. I still remember the day when I voluntarily quit that job to move into new challenges.

**Jeremiah 29:11** told me:

**“For I know the thoughts that I think toward you, saith the LORD, thoughts of peace, and not of evil, to give you an expected end.”**

**Romans 8:28** further reminded me:

**“And we know that all things work together for good to them that love God, to them who are the called according to his purpose.”**

At UCSD, I was privileged to be lectured by Professors Thomas Watson, Francis Crick, Stanley Miller and other Nobel Prize winners. When it came to the subject of origins, I saw clearly in the Bible that God explained where everything (including man) came from:

**Genesis 1:1** is very straight forward:

**“In the beginning God created the heaven and the earth.”**

The Bible clearly stated that man was a special creation of God and that man did not come from animals.

**Genesis 2:7** explained the process:

**“And the LORD God formed man of the dust of the ground, and breathed into his nostrils the breath of life; and man became a living soul.”**

The Bible clearly described the time period over which He made the heavens and the earth and all life (including man) in **Exodus 20:11:**

**“For in six days the LORD made heaven and earth, the sea, and all that in them is...”**

I saw that the Bible used the Hebrew word, “Yom” for day, for the six days in which the Lord made the heaven and the earth and all life (including man.)

As a Jew I was very familiar with the Hebrew word, “Yom” because every year our family went to the synagogue for “Yom Kippur.” On that day of Yom Kippur, we could not eat or drink anything. I still remember seeing the plastic bags tied over the drinking fountains in the synagogue so no one would take a drink of water. And as a child, I remember thinking how glad I was that “Yom” is only 24 hours long and

not longer. I was shocked later on to learn that some suggested that the “Yom” in the Bible was an age of more than 24 hours. I thought to myself, they should have been a Jewish child not drinking or eating for Yom Kippur, then they never would suggest that the Bible meant anything longer than 24 hours when it used the term “Yom.”

So, I understood that the Bible taught that in six literal 24-hour days, God made the heaven and the earth and all life — including man, who was a special creation of God and not made from animals. I reasoned that if God chose to inspire the Bible’s account to explain how He made everything, then that was fine with me. Who was I not to agree with what He said He did in Creation? I knew my place. He is the Creator and I am part of His Creation. He is the Revealer/Teacher of what He did and I am the learner/student. Who was I to not agree with the Revealer/Teacher? After all, I was not there when He performed the creation, so I took His word for what He said He did.

While at UCSD, it became very clear to me that my professors did not agree with how God explained origins. My professors said that there was a big bang *when nothing exploded*. I was perplexed by the statement that *nothing exploded*. To say that nothing exploded sounded to me like *The Emperor's New Clothes*. Though physics was not my main study, I knew that the "*nothing exploded*" explanation was in conflict with the Bible's "*In the beginning God created the heaven and the earth*" explanation.





Even more perplexing to me was the explanation that was promoted on campus that life came from non-living chemicals. I was being taught that over a long period of time (more than six days) through random, chance processes alone, life originated. I was a student of life sciences and that explanation did not make any sense to me. To say life came from time, chance and random processes also seemed like another

version of *The Emperor's New Clothes*. And, the more it was promoted, the more it created internal conflicts. My professors were actively teaching an explanation for origins that squarely conflicted with what the Bible was teaching about Creation. I felt that this conflict had to be resolved. Were my science professors right and the Bible wrong? Or was the Bible right and my professors wrong in their promotion of this other explanation of origins?



At that time, our Christian campus organization called Trident Christian Fellowship decided to organize the first in a series of Creation/Evolution debates on campus. Arguing for the Biblical explanation of origins or Creation was Dr. Duane Gish, a former researcher at the University of California, Berkeley and co-founder of the San Diego-based Institute for Creation Research (ICR). Arguing against the Bible's literal explanation for origins and for vertical Evolution was Dr. Murray Goodman,

who was one of my chemistry professors. The debates were a hot topic on campus and well attended. Dr. Gish used examples of complex creatures to argue that those life forms had to be created at one time complete with all their interdependent parts intact. Dr. Goodman sought to argue against the concept that “God created.” At one point, Dr. Gish said, “Your position takes more faith than I have.” Dr. Goodman responded, “It is not that my position is more plausible than your position. I simply cannot accept the alternative.” With that statement I realized that Dr. Goodman was saying that he was scientifically prejudiced and that he could not scientifically accept God’s involvement in the origin of life.


During this time I was wondering about prebiotic synthesis of chemicals found in life. How could the proteins found in life have come about before there was life? There are 20 amino acids found in the proteins of living organisms.



aspartic acid glutamic acid



When these amino acids are produced in a laboratory, they are produced in an equal proportion of structures starting and ending with the terminus of Amino-Acid and Acid-Amino (which are mirror images of each other) called right handed or D (for dextro=right) isomers and left handed or L (for levro=left) isomers.



L or Left D or Right  
Isomer Isomer

But in living organisms, only the left handed (L) or levro isomers of the 20 amino acids are found. There are no right handed (D) or dextro isomers of the 20 amino acids found in life.

At that time I attended my first class in physical chemistry and the professor introduced himself as Dr. Stanley Miller, who had received the Nobel Prize for proving that the building blocks for proteins (amino acids) found in life came from non living chemicals. In the 1960's, Professor Harold Urey, the chair of our physics department, had recruited his former Ph.D. student, Dr. Miller, from the University of Chicago. While in Chicago, Dr. Miller had constructed his famous "sparking chamber" in which under highly controlled conditions (with boiling water, sparking electrodes, a trap and the influx of methane, ammonia and hydrogen) he was able to demonstrate the generation of 11 out of the 20 amino acids found in life. Now I was a student of Professor Miller. How perfect, I thought, for me to be able to go to the person who received the Nobel Prize for prebiotic synthesis and ask him my questions about the prebiotic synthesis of proteins. So, I made an appointment to meet Professor Miller in his office.

Professor Miller was a very nice person and very approachable. I entered his office and said, “Professor Miller, I have been thinking about prebiotic synthesis and your work that earned you the Nobel Prize. I have three questions:”

“My first question has to do with your Miller-Urey experiment in which you demonstrated the generation of 11 of the 20 amino acids found in life. What is your explanation for the generation of the remaining 9 amino acids?”

“My second question focuses on the fact that your Miller-Urey experiment generated an equal proportion of both the D and L isometric forms of amino acids, as would be the case if they were produced by standard organic synthesis. But in life, only the L isomer is found. What is your explanation for how the amino acids found in life are only L isomers with not one D isomer?”

My third question dealt with complex proteins. Hemoglobin is made up of 574 amino acids with a specific sequence. Each of the 574 positions must be made up of exactly the specific amino acid. For example, in position six of normal hemoglobin is the amino acid “glutamic acid.” When there is a person with the illness of sickle cell anemia, position six has the amino acid of valine (instead of glutamic acid), while all other 573 positions in sickle cell anemia hemoglobin have the same amino acids as normal hemoglobin. So my third question went along this line:

“Professor Miller, as you know, hemoglobin is made up of a specific sequence of 574 amino acids. If there was a large bag of equal amounts of all 20 L isomers of amino acids, the probability of pulling from the bag the correct amino acid (which is valine) is  $20^{-1}$  or 1 in 20 and the probability of pulling both the correct first and the second amino acids (which is valine and histidine)

is  $20^2$  or 1 in 40. The probability of pulling from the bag the correct amino acids for all 574 positions is  $20^{574}$  which is an astronomical figure. And if that could be done, that would make only one molecule of hemoglobin and there are  $10^{21}$  molecules of hemoglobin in our body. In addition, hemoglobin is only one protein of the thousands of proteins that are in our body. What is your explanation for the prebiotic synthesis of complex proteins?”

Then I looked at Professor Miller for his response to those three questions.

Professor Miller began to smoke heavily on a cigarette (at that time it was permissible to smoke in an office in California). As his office began to fill with smoke I thought, “I hope he answers those questions quickly or else I am going to get lung cancer.”

Professor Miller started with several explanations that each ended in him explaining the problems with each explanation.

Finally, he looked at me and just said, “I do not know. Actually, I am still searching for how life began.” I thanked him for his time and left his office. Outside of his office I thought to myself, “If the man who got the Nobel Prize for supposedly demonstrating prebiotic synthesis admits that he does not know how life began, then I am convinced that there is no scientific basis for life beginning without God.”

Professor Miller has not been alone in his search for how life could have begun without God. Explaining how life began without God has become a dilemma for many scientists. Professor Francis Crick received the Nobel Prize for the double helix structure and function of DNA and later became President of the Salk Institute for Biological Studies in La Jolla. Professor Crick explained what he called “directed panspermia” stating that life did not begin on earth but came from microorganisms that were planted on earth by an unknown advanced alien civilization in outer space.

However, I had respect for my instructors and the researchers on campus and I continued to wonder why most of them held to a position that could not be supported scientifically.

One day I was discussing Creation versus life beginning without God with one of my teaching assistants in his office. He was becoming more adamant in favor of life originating without God and our voices were becoming louder. Not wanting anyone to overhear us he got up to shut his office door. When he shut the door of his office I saw that he had a pinup of a naked woman on the back of his door. I paused and thought about that and then I pointed to the pinup and said to him, "I've got it! You don't have a scientific problem; you have a moral problem." From that point on, the words of Professor Goodman that he could not accept the alternative lined up together with the experience with the teaching assistant, and I understood why so many of

those whom I respected academically held to the non-scientific explanation that life began without God.

The Word of God described this situation in many verses:

**2 Corinthians 4:3-4** states:

**“But if our gospel be hid, it is hid to them that are lost: in whom the god of this world hath blinded the minds of them which believe not...”**

**1 Corinthians 2:14**

**“But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of God: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned.”**

**Psalm 53:1**

**“The fool hath said in his heart, There is no God. Corrupt are they, and have done abominable iniquity: there is none that doeth good.”**

Morality was not only determining theological positions (atheism), but also scientific positions (life beginning without God.)

Now when someone tells me that they believe that life started without God, I have compassion for that person and I put my hand on their shoulder and say, “I hope you recover.”

## **2 Timothy 2:24-26**

**“And the servant of the Lord must not strive; but be gentle unto all men, apt to teach, patient, In meekness instructing those that oppose them-**

**selves; if God peradventure will give them repentance to the acknowledging of the truth; And that they may recover themselves out of the snare of the devil, who are taken captive by him at his will.”**

After graduating with a Bachelor in Science in Biochemistry, I wanted to continue my studies. But, on the day of my graduation, June 17, 1973, our first baby was born, David Israel Cantor. Actually, after Professor Harold Urey gave the commencement speech at UCSD, we went directly to the hospital and my studies ended. I hoped that I could find a job in science.

Fortunately, Dr. Jack Geller, an Endocrinologist at Mercy Hospital (and specialist in steroid actions on the prostate gland) hired me to work in his research lab and I worked long hours. Whenever Dr. Geller could, he came away from seeing

patients down to the research lab to work side by side with me. During the 18 months, Dr. Geller became my mentor as he taught me both by his instruction about steroid receptor proteins and by his excitement with discoveries from newly generated data. We published several scientific papers together. During that time I worked with antibodies (generated from his own goats which had been injected with steroids) that were formatted into immunoassays.

For the next two years I worked as a lab manager at UCSD and the Veterans Administration Hospital in La Jolla, where I was able to develop immunoassays for parathyroid hormone (PTH) and calcitonin which regulate calcium metabolism. We used those assays to probe the role those hormones played in endocrine disorders and cancer.

In 1976, with \$130 and one fourth of my

single car garage in San Diego, I started Scantibodies Laboratory with a goal to further research God's wonderful Creation and use the information to benefit patients. The company has now grown to 650 employees, 1500 products, a clinical lab and is embarking on using human antibodies to cure swine flu, HIV, Hepatitis C virus and pharmaceutically resistant bacterial infections.

During the years I have done research, I have been impressed with how a scientist is really trying to discover the wonders of what God created. The scientist is on a search, but the scientist is not the first searcher of that path. That path has been searched before by God when He created it. The scientist is "re searching" what God did. The scientist is a **researcher** and the scientist is engaged in **research** of what God accomplished in His Creation.

I am convinced that a good scientist approaches life with a great deal of respect

and awe of Creation as a great work, even if one part of his mind refuses to acknowledge or worship the Creator or to understand that He is studying God's Creation.

Being a creationist has an impact on research strategies. For example, we found in 1999, a large concentration of a fragment of the 84 amino acid parathyroid hormone in both patients and normal subjects. This fragment is missing the first 6 amino acids of 1-84 PTH, so the fragment is 7-84 PTH. If my scientific position had been based on evolution, I may well have concluded (as others did) that the 7-84 PTH fragment had no purpose in the body, but was vestigial evidence for evolution which finally eventuated in the full 1-84 PTH. But, since my scientific position is based on Creation, I took the position that the Creator put that 7-84 PTH in the body for a purpose and that my challenge was to discover the purpose. I filed several patents and founded a clinical laboratory for dialysis patients based on the position that the 7-84 PTH is a counter reg-

ulatory hormone (like the break pedal) for the 1-84 PTH (like the gas pedal). This turned out to be true and researchers at Harvard University went on to further discover that the 7-84 PTH has its own dedicated receptor protein.

But, being a creationist not only affects research strategies, it also elevates the value of the Creation. What we see is not the result of time, chance and random processes; it is the special, marvelous and beautiful work of a wonderful Creator.

### **Psalm 139:14**

**“I will praise thee; for I am  
fearfully and wonderfully  
made: marvellous are thy  
works; and that my soul  
knoweth right well.”**

When a leading nephrologist at a Japanese University came to me with suspicious PTH

results that contradicted claims by a major medical company, I started on a nine-year saga of conducting numerous tests to verify and warn of the PTH assay problem and harmful consequences to dialysis patients. I tried to get the international community of nephrologists and the U.S. government to listen and stop the harm being done to dialysis patients as a result of the inaccurate test. I found that the PTH test kits, sold by Nichols Institute Diagnostics, a division of Quest Diagnostics (the largest diagnostic lab in the world) were being used to guide therapy on Medicare patients and were generating inaccurately high levels of PTH, which required dialysis patients to receive unnecessary and potentially harmful doses of vitamin D supplements and, in some cases, to have unnecessary, irreversible surgeries to remove the parathyroid glands. Since the results were flawed, patients were getting harmful vitamin D supplement overdoses or needless surgeries.

Over that nine year period, I sent out thou-

sands of emails to health providers and regulators but with little response. Then one day I discovered the False Claims Act, which requires the U.S. government to investigate complaints by whistleblowers. So I filed a suit under seal with the federal court in Brooklyn, NY in 2004, and everything changed from then on.

In April 2009, the guilty company reached a settlement in which they pled guilty to a felony misbranding, admitting an intent to mislead, and the company paid the U.S. government \$302 million (the largest settlement ever paid for a faulty diagnostic product). All of the operations of the guilty company have stopped and dialysis patients are no longer being harmed by those faulty tests. A provision of the law gives whistleblowers a percentage of the settlement (designed to encourage whistleblowers like me to come forward). Those funds were just what Scantibodies Laboratory needed to pay for the research

and development of the human antibody cures for infectious diseases.

Through the nine year process, I was accused of not being qualified to change medical practice, of simply being a competitor to the company I was accusing, and of not having the government's endorsement for the allegations. I have been asked how I was able to persevere for years to stop the harm done to patients. I persevered by considering that the Creator was more concerned than I was over the plight of vulnerable dialysis patients who were His valuable creations. This gave me courage to press on.

Being a creationist has not always been easy. We had embarked on a research collaboration on vitamin D with researchers at the University of Wisconsin and the University of Hawaii. In the study we investigated the vitamin D and PTH levels from surfers in Hawaii and subjects in Wisconsin who had less sunlight exposure than the

surfers. When it was found that the surfers were vitamin D deficient, the lead researcher at the University of Wisconsin decided to promote the finding as evidence for evolution. He wanted to state that the finding demonstrated that prehistoric man had sunlight exposure similar to the surfers and had mechanisms to prevent over production of vitamin D. As a co-author, I did not agree with this evolution promoting position and asked for at least the opportunity to express in the paper an alternative creationist viewpoint on the findings. The dispute became so intense that I was asked to drop out as a co-author, which I did. What has surprised me has been the overall one-sided promotion of origins without God (e.g., vertical evolution) which is advanced in science as a “case closed”. The prevailing opinion among the scientific community is that the case is indeed closed for the explanation of the origin of life. Regarding origins, it is common to hear statements like, “evolution is scientifically right.” But scientists are not always right.

The case closed position is a dangerous position for scientists to take. The best scientists realize this and often premise their positional statements with, “It is our current understanding that...” or “Based on the evidence we have so far, we understand that...” This is because the worst diet for a scientist is to have to eat his own words. Scientists have had to embarrassingly take an opposite position to what has been held in the past. Scientists are not always right and sometimes they have to change and take an opposite position.

A classic example of this is the history of the scientific position for use of hormone replacement therapy (HRT). The leading cause of death for women in the US is heart disease. In the 1970’s and 1980’s, the prevailing position was that HRT prevented heart disease in women. This position was based on a number of retrospective studies that showed associations between patients with healthier hearts, brain, memory and bone who also used HRT. But clearly,

women who took HRT had healthier hearts. Even though the most popular HRT (Premarin™) comes from the urine of pregnant mares, prescription after prescription for HRT were being written for decades to protect the heart. Then, the National Institutes of Health (NIH) decided in the 1990's to fund a large prospective study with carefully matched placebo subjects to demonstrate the health benefits of HRT. The study was called the "Women's Health Initiative" or WHI, and was to last 5-10 years. But the study could not even be finished, because shortly after it began, it was clearly seen that HRT was harming the hearts of women and the study was quickly aborted. It is now known that women who take HRT are three times more likely to have blood clots and subsequent heart disease compared to those who do not take HRT. What was supposed to protect the heart actually harmed the hearts of many women. Today the scientific position is that HRT harms the heart and it is clearly seen

that what was once viewed as right (that HRT benefits the heart) is now seen as wrong. Modern day scientists are not always right.

Being a creationist is both intellect and soul liberating, because Creation reveals the faithfulness of the Creator. For example, the human body is the work of a faithful Creator. The intricate layout of all of the blood vessels with each one attached to its correct terminus, all working together for the overall function of transporting nutrients, wastes and oxygen to and from cells, shows the work of a faithful Creator. The ear drum receiving tonal sound waves and moving less than the diameter of an atom and then transferring those movements to 3 bones, which in turn go through a transducer, converting movement into electrical signals that are interpreted by the brain as different tones, shows the work of a faithful Creator. The interdependency of plant and animal as the plant both uses our exhaled

carbon dioxide and produces the oxygen we need, shows the work of a faithful Creator. These are but a very few of the many parts of the Creation that all display the work of a faithful Creator. Allowing the Creation to speak of the Creator's faithfulness is an encouragement to call on that Creator for the greatest need we have — which is to be saved from the penalty of having sinned against the sinless, Holy Creator. The Bible clearly identifies who the Creator is.

### **Colossians 1:13-17**

**“...His dear Son: In whom we have redemption through his blood, even the forgiveness of sins: Who is the image of the invisible God, the firstborn of every creature: For by him were all things created, that are in heaven, and that are in earth, visible and invisible, whether they be thrones, or**

**dominions, or principalities,  
or powers: all things were cre-  
ated by him, and for him:  
And he is before all things,  
and by him all things consist.”**

The Creator is the Lord Jesus Christ. Not only is He the Creator, He is the Savior from sin. He has promised to save everyone who calls on His name for salvation from sin. The Bible encourages needy souls to commit the keeping of our souls to the Lord Jesus Christ as a faithful Creator.

### **1 Peter 4:19**

**“Wherefore let them that suf-  
fer according to the will of  
God commit the keeping of  
their souls to him in well  
doing, as unto a faithful  
Creator.”**

As a Scientific Creationist, I have dedicated my life to researching the beautiful handi-

work of this great Creator, and sharing the message of the Creator's Gospel (good news), that He is faithful to save whoever will call on His name. God has made it so easy to be saved. All we have to do is to believe the record and receive Him into our hearts as Lord and Savior by praying a simple prayer like: "Lord Jesus, I am a sinner and need to be saved from my sins. Please forgive me for my sins and come into my heart to be my Lord and Savior." When you pray that prayer from your heart, God promises that He will save you and give you a new life – the one you were created for!

**John 1:12:**

**"But as many as received him,  
to them gave he power  
to become the sons of God,  
even to them that believe on  
his name."**

You can be assured that the same mighty and faithful Creator will be just as mighty and faithful to save you from your sins, make you His child, give you eternal life, and come and live in your heart. Will you call on the Lord Jesus Christ to save you? Will you do it today? Will you do it now?

Your friend,  
*Tom*

For prayer or more information on how to be saved through the Lord Jesus Christ, the Great Creator and Savior, contact author Tom Cantor at:

[tom.cantor@scantibodies.com](mailto:tom.cantor@scantibodies.com)

(619) 258-9300

1-800-279-9181

